1	Final - Minutes
2	Forensic Science Board Meeting
3	January 7, 2015
4	Department of Forensic Science, Central Laboratory, Classroom 1
5	
6	Board Members Present
7	Vince Donoghue, Essex Commonwealth's Attorney and Designee of Senator Thomas K.
8	Norment, Jr., and Senator Mark D. Obenshain, Co-Chairs, Senate Courts of Justice Committee
9	Francine C. Ecker, Director, Department of Criminal Justice Services
10	Karl R. Hade, Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court
11	Kristen J. Howard, Executive Director of the Virginia State Crime Commission and Designee of
12	Senator Thomas K. Norment, Jr., Chair, Virginia State Crime Commission
13	Caroline D. Juran, Executive Director, Board of Pharmacy
14	Anthony A. Lippa, Jr., Sheriff, Caroline County
15	Robert Northern, Lt. Colonel, Virginia State Police and Designee of Colonel W. Steven Flaherty,
16	Superintendent Virginia State Police Superintendent
17	Alphonse Poklis, Ph.D., Member, Scientific Advisory Committee
18	Claiborne H. Stokes, Jr., Commonwealth's Attorney, Goochland County
19	Richard Vorhis, Esq., Designee of Attorney General Mark R. Herring
20	Arkuie Williams, Designee of William T. Gormley, M.D., Chief Medical Examiner
21	
22	Board Members Absent
23	Jo Ann Given, Forensic Science Board Chair and Member, Scientific Advisory Committee
24	David A. C. Long, Esq.
25	Richard L. Morris, Delegate and Designee of Delegate David B. Albo, Chair, House Courts of
26	Justice Committee
27	
28	Legal Counsel for the Forensic Science Board
29	K. Michelle Welch, Esq., Assistant Attorney General
30	
31	Staff Members Present
32	Wanda W. Adkins, Office Manager
33	D. Jeffery Ban, Central Laboratory Director
34 35	David A. Barron, Ph.D., Deputy Director
	Donna Carter, Finance Manager Sabring S. Cillesson, Physical Evidence Program Manager
36 37	Sabrina S. Cillessen, Physical Evidence Program Manager
38	Amy M. Curtis, Department Counsel Leslie Ellis, Human Resources Director
38 39	Theresa Francis, Forensic Biology Group Supervisor
40	John T. Griffin, Northern Laboratory Director
41	Katya N. Herndon, Chief Deputy Director
42	Linda C. Jackson, Department Director
43	Bradford C. Jenkins, Forensic Biology Program Manager
44	Alka B. Lohmann, Director of Technical Services
45	Lisa Schiermeier-Wood, Forensic Biology Section Supervisor
46	Susan Stanitski, Eastern Laboratory Director
10	Subur Stantoni, Sustein Eucoratory Director

47

## 48 <u>Call to Order</u>

49

Caroline Juran, the Vice-Chair of the Forensic Science Board ("Board"), served as Chair in the absence of Jo Ann Given and called the meeting of the Board to order at 9:00 a.m. The Chair welcomed new member, Vince Donoghue, and new DFS Counsel, Amy Curtis. Brief introductions were given by both to the Board.

54

## 55 Adoption of Agenda

The Chair asked if there were any additions or changes to the draft agenda for the meeting.
Being none, Dr. Poklis made a motion to adopt the agenda, which was seconded by Mr. Hade
and adopted by unanimous vote of the Board.

60

## 61 Approval of Draft Minutes of the October 15, 2014 Meeting

62

The Chair asked if there were any changes or corrections to the draft minutes from the October
15, 2014 meeting. Being none, Lt. Colonel Northern made a motion to adopt the minutes, which
was seconded by Sheriff Lippa and approved by unanimous vote of the Board.

#### 66 67 <u>Chair's Report</u>

68

69 There was no formal report from the Chair.

70

# 71 <u>DFS Director's Report</u> 72

73 <u>Facilities:</u>

Director Jackson updated the Board on the status of the renovation/expansion of the Western
 Laboratory. The anticipated completion date for the new construction is December 2015.
 Renovations to the current Western Laboratory building will begin in January 2016 after the new

construction is finished, with an estimated completion date of August 2016.

78

Detailed planning money for the expansion of the Central Laboratory has been awarded. The Department was approved to begin the design on August 28, 2014. Design plans have been submitted and are being reviewed by the Department of General Services. Expected completion date of the Central Laboratory expansion project is sometime in 2019, at the earliest. The current lease for the space DFS uses in Biotech 8 ends in 2016. However, the Division of Real Estate Services has renegotiated lease terms with the owner of Biotech 8 for a lower rate, and the lease is in the process of being renewed.

- 86
- 87 <u>Budget Resources:</u>
- 88 Director Jackson provided an overview of the Department's Budget, including FY15 budget
- reductions totaling \$1,153,070, and the proposed FY16 reductions estimated at \$1,790,451.
- 90 Director Jackson directed the Board to where additional information about the budget reductions
- 91 is available online. Director Jackson also reviewed with the Board information on DFS FY14
- 92 expenditures by category.

All DFS service reductions notices were posted on the DFS website and e-mail notices were sent to the Virginia Sheriffs' Association, the Virginia Association of Chiefs of Police and the Commonwealth's Attorneys' Services Council for distribution to user agencies. Director Jackson discussed the service reductions and directed the Board to where the notices could be found on the Department's website.

98

99 Grants: Director Jackson gave an overview of all current grants, including one grant requiring 100 the Board's approval, which is for "Research and Development for Publicly Funded Forensic 101 Science Laboratories to Assess the Testing and Processing of Physical Evidence." With the 102 approval of the Board's Chair and Vice-Chair, DFS submitted an application for this grant in 103 December. The grant will provide funds for the development, validation and dissemination of 104 two quantitative LC/MS/MS methods for the analysis of whole blood in accordance with the 105 Scientific Working Group for Forensic Toxicology (SWGTOX) Method Validation guidelines 106 for: 1) designer drugs, such as cannabimimetic agents and research chemicals; and 2) cocaine, 107 its metabolites and opioids. Total funding for this project is estimated at \$140,504. Ms. Ecker 108 made a motion for the Board to accept the funds for this pending grant, if awarded, which was 109 seconded by Dr. Poklis and approved by unanimous vote of the Board.

- 110
- 111 Agency Goals for 2014:

112 Director Jackson updated the Board on the Department's 2014 Agency Goal to validate and 113 implement DNA multiplex kits in the Data Bank. The validation and training are complete and

113 implement DNA multiplex kits in the Data Bank. The validation and training are com 114 the multiplex kits are scheduled to be implemented by the end of January.

- 115
- 116 Agency Goals for 2015:

117 Director Jackson gave an overview of the 2015 Agency goals: 1) implement the new Laboratory

Information Management System; and 2) provide additional online access to breath alcohol test records.

120

Workload/Backlog: Director Jackson presented the Department's statistics in quarterly format
 using graphs reflecting cases received, cases completed, the caseload, and the average number of
 days in the system for each Section. Director Jackson noted an increase in cases for the Forensic
 Biology Section and explained that it was a result of supplemental reports on statistical cases that
 were issued.

126

Director Jackson informed the Board that the Questioned Documents Section was originally on the list for budget reductions, with two scientists being laid off, but DFS was subsequently informed by the Department of Planning and Budget that the Questioned Documents Section had been removed from the list of budget reductions.

131

Director Jackson also reviewed the average turnaround times matrix, which was submitted to the
Department of Planning and Budget for the strategic plan that was recently updated. DFS was
able to change the matrix in the Virginia Performs system to include the average turnaround time
quarterly for Controlled Substances, Firearms, Forensic Biology, Latent Prints and Toxicology.

136 Director Jackson noted that, although DFS tracks and has goals to reduce its turnaround times in

137 many Sections, the most important priority of the agency is ensuring quality work.

138

- 139 Director Jackson informed the Board of the U.S. Commerce Department's National Institute of
- 140 Standards and Technology (NIST) final appointments to the Organization for Scientific Area
- 141 Committees (OSAC), established to coordinate development of standards and guidelines for the
- 142 forensic science community. OSAC is bringing a uniform structure to what was previously an ad
- hoc system with the goal of improving the quality and consistency of forensic science in the
- 144 United States. Eight DFS scientists were appointed to serve on various OSAC Subcommittees.
- 145

## 146 Old Business

- 147
- 148 <u>Status of the Post-Conviction DNA Testing Program and Notification Project</u>
- 149 Katya Herndon, Chief Deputy Director, briefly reviewed with the Board the post-conviction 150 testing and notification figures, which had not changed since the October meeting.
- 151
- 152 Ms. Herndon advised the Board that DFS is working on compiling a complete list of all
- 153 individuals whose files contain evidence that includes the testing and notification status of each
- 154 of the cases so that it may be shared with Crime Commission staff. In order to do this, DFS staff
- 155 has been manually pulling and reviewing files to confirm notifications and the current status of
- 156 these cases. However, to date, DFS has only been doing the manual review and has not yet
- 157 completed the data entry. Once the data entry is completed, the post-conviction figures may158 change.
- 158 159
- 160 Ms. Herndon reminded the Board that, at its October meeting, she provided an update on what
- 161 had occurred at the September Crime Commission meeting. In particular, the recommendation
- 162 from the Crime Commission that DFS "retest" in the post-conviction cases where there was an
- 163 "inconclusive" result. The Crime Commission had recommended that the retesting be prioritized
- as follows: 1) cases where spermatozoa is present and the suspect is still incarcerated; 2) cases
- where the suspect is still incarcerated; and 3) cases where spermatozoa is present and the suspect
- 166 is not still incarcerated; and 4) all other cases.
- 167
- 168 DFS submitted a decision package seeking funding to outsource the retesting of the
- 169 "inconclusive" cases using mini-STR or Y-STR testing. DFS does not conduct mini-STR
- 170 testing, and does not have the resources to conduct Y-STR testing in these cases without
- 171 significant delay to pending cases. The estimated cost is \$3,500 per case, based on an average
- 172 number of samples per case of seven, and a per sample cost of \$500. The Governor's Introduced
- 173 Budget includes \$150,000 for this testing in FY16.
- 174
- 175 DFS has identified 421 cases that contained evidence that yielded "inconclusive" results. DFS
- 176 has manually pulled 400+ case files and volunteers from the Forensic Biology Section have
- 177 worked overtime on weekends and holidays to review the original serologist's notes in each of
- these case files to ascertain which had sperm/semen present. As a result of this review, 60 cases
- with sperm/semen present were identified. DFS will provide the Crime Commission informationon the suspects for these 60 cases so that a determination can be made as to which, if any, of the
- 180 on the suspects for these 60 cases so that a determina181 suspects in these cases is still incarcerated.
  - 182
  - 183 Ms. Herndon addressed another issue regarding the recommended retesting which was raised by
- 184 Shawn Armbrust with the Mid-Atlantic Innocence Project. Ms. Armbrust had planned to attend

185 the Board meeting, but she had an unexpected conflict and asked Ms. Herndon to convey her

- 186 thoughts to the Board. Ms. Armbrust had advised Ms. Herndon that she did not think it would be
- a good use of the state's resources to conduct retesting in the majority of the inconclusive cases.
- 188 She believes it would be worthwhile to screen the cases in advance to ascertain whether retesting 189 the retained evidence would be probative of the suspect's guilt or innocence. Ms. Armbrust's
- experience with the Innocence Project in handling these post-conviction cases is that, in more
- 190 experience with the innocence Project in handling these post-conviction cases is that, in more 191 than half of the cases, the DNA testing that was conducted was not probative of guilt or
- innocence. She indicated that the Innocent Project could not take on all of the screening, but she
- 193 offered to participate in conducting the screening. Her suggestion is to include representatives
- from the Commonwealth's Attorneys, the Attorney General's Office, and the Indigent Defense
- 195 Commission, in addition to the Innocence Project.
- 196

197 DFS is supportive of this type of screening, and believes the decision making regarding which

- 198 cases to retest should be conducted independent of DFS. DFS would, however, make available a 199 scientist who is able to answer any technical questions the screening group may have.
- 200

201 Ms. Howard commented that she had spoken with Ms. Armbrust at the conclusion of the October

Board meeting and agreed that testing should only occur if probative to the case. After general

discussion, Ms. Howard made a motion to add a Commonwealth's Attorney as a member of the

204 DNA Notification Subcommittee and to have that Subcommittee develop recommendations to

- present to the Board at its May meeting regarding how the screening to determine the probative
- 206 value of testing in these cases should occur. The motion was seconded by Mr. Vorhis and 207 unanimously approved by the Board. Mr. Donoghue agreed to serve as the Commonwealth's
- 208 Attorney on the Notification Subcommittee.
- 209

#### 210 SB658 PERK Inventory Update:

211 Theresa Francis, DFS Forensic Biology Section Group Supervisor, gave an update on the status 212 of the PERK Inventory. Ms. Francis reviewed the requirements of Senate Bill 658 as well as the 213 timeline for the PERK Inventory. The deadline for law enforcement agencies to return 214 completed forms to DFS is February 1, 2015. As of January 4, 2015, only 93 (approximately 215 24%) of state and local law enforcement agencies in Virginia had submitted their inventories. E-216 mails regarding the inventory have been sent to law enforcement agencies through the Virginia 217 Sheriffs' Association and the Virginia Association of Chiefs of Police. In addition, information is posted on the DFS website. Follow-up e-mails will be sent to remind agencies of the timeline 218 219 for submission of the inventory. DFS will submit a report to the General Assembly by July 1, 220 2015.

- 221
- 222 <u>Report on Costs Associated with Forensic Science Board meetings</u>

223 At the October meeting, the Chair, Jo Ann Given, brought to the Board's attention her concerns, as both a citizen and a member of the Board, for the State Budget as a whole, and the 224 225 Department's budget in particular. She had offered, for discussion, the idea of reducing the 226 number of times the Board meets per year from four to two. The Board discussed the possible 227 change and potential cost savings to the Department, and requested the Department to provide information about potential cost savings by reducing the number of meetings per year at the next 228 229 Board meeting. In response, Director Jackson provided a report on the costs to the agency 230 associated with holding each Board meeting. Cost estimates were approximately \$18,100 per 231 meeting, based on direct costs (e.g. travel, copies of materials) of \$700 and indirect costs (e.g.

- 232 DFS staff costs) of \$17,400.
- 233

#### 234 New Business

235

#### 236 <u>2015 Legislation</u>

237 Ms. Herndon advised the Board that the Department would have two agency bills in the

- 238 upcoming General Assembly Session. The first bill, which would grant law enforcement
- agencies the authority to donate unclaimed firearms to DFS for use in its reference collections, is
- being carried by Senator Marsden. Under current law, law enforcement may destroy any
   unclaimed firearm once it has been in their possession for more than 60 days, provided there
- have been reasonable attempts made to notify the rightful owner, and the Commonwealth's
- Attorney has advised that the firearm is not needed for criminal prosecution. The bill provides
- law enforcement with the option of donating an unclaimed firearm to DFS for use in its reference
- collections in lieu of destroying the firearm. DFS must agree to the donation of any unclaimed
- 246 firearm. The second bill, which would grant the State Police the explicit authority to seek
- confirmation from the DNA Data Bank about whether any person required to register with the
- 248 Sex Offender Registry has provided a DNA sample to the DNA Data Bank, is being carried by
- 249 Delegate Watts. Under current law (Code § 9.1-903), every person registered as a sex offender
- is required to submit a DNA sample for inclusion in the DNA Data Bank. The bill would
- streamline the process and allow DFS to directly notify State Police whether persons in the Sex
- 252 Offender Registry have provided DNA samples as required by law. The bill's language mirrors 253 the language in the inventile offender DNA Date Penk statute
- the language in the juvenile offender DNA Data Bank statute.
- 254

### 255 ASCLD/LAB Notice of Important Program Adjustment

- 256 Director Jackson notified the Board, as an interested party to the American Society of Crime Lab
- 257 Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board (ASCLD/LAB), about a Notice of an Important
- Program Adjustment that was received and became effective immediately. ASCLD/LAB will
- 259 now schedule and complete all on-site, full reassessments to renew ASCLD/LAB-International
- accreditation within five years of the last on-site, full assessment of the laboratory (as opposed to
- within five years of the date of accreditation). The change in the ASCLD/LAB schedule will not
- 262 affect DFS as the Department elected to have its accreditation granted for a period of four years
- 263 during its reaccreditation in September 2014.
- 264

# 265 Board of Pharmacy Regulation Adding Compounds to Schedule I

- 266 Chair Juran advised the Board that, pursuant to Code 54.1-3443(D), the Board of Pharmacy
- amended its regulation through an expedited process to place three new compounds into
- Schedule I. DFS had identified the three compounds for recommended inclusion by the Board of
   Pharmacy into Schedule I. The regulation will be published in the Register of Regulations on
- January 12, 2015, and will become effective on February 11, 2015. The placement of these
- substances in Schedule I will remain in effect for a period of 18 months from the effective date
- and will then be de-scheduled unless the Drug Control Act is amended by legislation of the
- General Assembly. Director Jackson noted that DFS has been contacted by Delegate Garrett's
- legislative aide, who advised that the Delegate intends to put forth a bill to add the three
- 275 compounds to the Drug Control Act.
- 276

- 277 DFS Decision Package on Review of Archived Case Files
- Amy Curtis, DFS Counsel, advised the Board that the FBI, in conjunction with the United States
- 279 Department of Justice, has undertaken a review of microscopic hair examinations after three
- individuals were exonerated following DNA testing of evidence in 2012. In April 2013,
- 281 ASCLD/LAB recommended that each laboratory consider review of past microscopic hair cases.
- 282 Texas and New York have already begun a review of these cases. Texas has established a Hair
- 283 Microscopy Review Team to advise on how to establish a process for review and criteria for
- these cases.
- 285

286 Ms. Curtis informed the Board that DFS submitted a decision package, and funding was included 287 in the Governor's Introduced Budget, to review all archived cases from 1973 through 1994 in 288 order to be able to identify cases where microscopic hair examinations were conducted. DFS 289 implemented its laboratory information system in 1995 so DFS is able to electronically search 290 cases from 1995 forward to identify microscopic hair exam cases. Because this project will 291 require DFS to conduct another manual review of archived case files housed at the Library of 292 Virginia, DFS decided it would be best to create an electronic database of these files. 293 Accordingly, in its decision package, DFS requested funding for personnel (four wage positions 294 working 30-36 months), equipment (two networked copiers/scanners) and data storage for this 295 case file review. The wage employees will scan and create an electronic database of the 296 Requests for Laboratory Examination forms and the Certificates of Analysis from each of the 297 archived case files.

298

Ms. Curtis noted that, if the funds for this project remain in the budget that is passed by the

- 300 General Assembly, the Board may want to hear a presentation at its May meeting from Texas
- 301 officials on the process they have developed to assist in determining how to proceed. If the
- 302 funds are included in the approved budget, DFS will contact Texas regarding a presentation to
- 303 the Board or request information that DFS could present to the Board.
- 304

### 305 **Public Comment**

306 307 None.

307 None 308

#### 309 <u>Next Meeting</u> 310

- The Forensic Science Board will meet again on May 13, 2015, August 12, 2015, and October 15, 2015.
- 312 201 313

### 314 Adjournment

- 315
- 316 Ms. Howard moved that the meeting of the Board be adjourned, which was seconded by
- 317 Mr. Hade and passed by unanimous vote.
- 318
- The meeting adjourned at 10:55 a.m.